Anaesthesia Section

Dexmedetomidine as an Additive to Spinal Anaesthesia in Orthopaedic Patients Undergoing Lower Limb Surgeries: A Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Two Different Doses of Dexmedetomidine

ARATI RAI¹, MEYONG PINCHO BHUTIA²

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Use of dexmedetomidine as an additive to spinal anaesthesia is gaining popularity; but there seems to be no clear consensus on the ideal dose to be used. Because of dose related prolongation of duration of motor blockade along with increase in the incidence of side effects of dexmedetomidine namely hypotension and bradycardia, use of higher doses is not recommended.

Aim: To evaluate the efficacy of two different doses of dexmedetomidine (3 μ g and 5 μ g) given in combination with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine via intrathecal route with regard to the quality of anaesthesia namely the time to attain highest sensory and motor blockade, side effects of dexmedetomidine and time to first rescue analgesia.

Materials and Methods: Sixty American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) Grade I and II orthopaedic patients undergoing lower limb surgeries between the ages of 20-60 years and height >150 cm were randomly divided into two groups of 30 patients each: Group D3 to receive 3 μg of Inj. Dexmedetomidine (0.5 ml, reconstituted using normal saline) along with 12.5 mg (2.5 ml) of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine and Group D5 to receive 5 μg of

inj. Dexmedetomidine (0.5 ml, reconstituted using normal saline) along with 12.5 mg (2.5 ml) of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine keeping the total volume of study drug constant in all 60 patients (3 ml). Data recordings were done for time to reach best sensory and motor block, intraoperative haemodynamic changes and time to first postoperative rescue analgesia. Statistical analysis was done using student's t-test and Chi-square test with p-value of <0.05 considered to be significant.

Results: The two groups analysed were similar in terms of demographic profile, time to reach highest sensory block (T10) dermatome, time to reach Bromage scale 4, time to surgical incision after spinal and the total duration of surgery (p>0.05). The change in haemodynamics was similar (p>0.05). A statistically significant difference (p<0.001) was observed in time to first rescue analgesia after skin closure with Group D3 having 206.47 minutes while in Group D5 the time was 271.33 minutes.

Conclusion: Used in a dose of 5 μ g (in 0.5 ml volume) as an additive in spinal anaesthesia maximal beneficial effect of dexmedetomidine can be obtained without any side effects.

Keywords: Bromage scale, Lumbar puncture, Motor blockade, Postoperative rescue analgesia

INTRODUCTION

Unless contraindicated, spinal anaesthesia is the preferred mode of anaesthesia in patients undergoing surgeries of lower limbs. Used alone in spinal anaesthesia, hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% is associated with relatively short duration of action leading to the need to rescue with general anaesthesia if the surgical procedure exceeds beyond the drug's duration of action [1]. Over the years many drugs have been used as an additive to spinal anaesthesia in order to hasten its onset of action, decrease the time to surgical incision, prolong the duration of action and to provide adequate postoperative analgesia. These drugs include midazolam, ketamine, fentanyl, clonidine [2,3], many opioids and non opioids. Use of opioids is associated with its side effects like pruritis, nausea, vomiting, constipation, and respiratory depression which can be distressing for the patient [4].

Dexmedetomidine, a highly selective α_2 agonist is rapidly emerging as the choice of additive to spinal anaesthesia in view of its property to provide analgesia and awake sedation without respiratory depression along with a stable haemodynamics [5]. Various studies conducted by different authors have used dexmedetomidine in doses of 3 μ g, 5 μ g, 10 μ g and 15 μ g [1,2,6] and there may be

dose related prolongation of duration of motor blockade along with increase in the incidence of side effects of dexmedetomidine namely hypotension and bradycardia [6]. Hence, there seems to be no clear consensus on the dose of dexmedetomidine to be used as an additive to hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for daily practice. Avoidance of side effects of dexmedetomidine while ensuring a pain free peri operative period is vital for successful outcome of any surgical procedure.

In this study, we aim to compare the efficacy of two different doses (3 μg and 5 μg) of dexmedetomidine given in combination with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine via intrathecal route in orthopaedic patients undergoing lower limb surgeries with regards to the haemodynamic stability, incidence of side effects (hypotension and bradycardia) and postoperative analgesia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was a prospective randomized clinical trial conducted at Sikkim Manipal Institute of Medical Science, Gangtok, Sikkim, India. After the approval of the Ethical Committee of the Institute, 60 ASA Grade I and II orthopaedic patients between the ages of 20 to 60 years who were undergoing lower limb surgeries were

included in the study. Sample size was based on previous study [7] and determined using MedCalc software version 16.2.1. All patients were screened in the pre anaesthesia clinic prior to taking up for surgery. Written consent was taken from all the patients enrolled in the study. Uncooperative patients, patients with uncontrolled hypertension and diabetes, patients with allergy to the study drugs, patients with height less than 150 cm and patients having condition which are contraindication to spinal anaesthesia such as patient refusal, infection at site of injection, coagulopathy, increased intracranial pressure were excluded from the study [Table/Fig-1].

The patients were randomized into two groups of 30 patients; Group D3 and Group D5 using a computer generated random number table. All patients in Group D3 received 12.5 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine along with 3 μg of Inj. Dexmedetomidine (0.5 ml, reconstituted with normal saline) while patients in Group D5 received 5 μg of inj. Dexmedetomidine (0.5 ml, reconstituted with normal saline) along with 12.5 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. The total volume of study drug was kept constant in all 60 patients (3 ml). The anaesthesiologist performing the procedure as well as the patient enrolled into the study were blinded from the study drug.

Prior to performing lumber puncture, standard monitoring including electrocardiography, pulse oximetry and non invasive blood pressure measurement was done and patient was co-loaded with 15 ml/kg body weight of ringer lactate. Lumbar puncture was done using 25 gauge Quinke spinal needle via median approach in L3-L4 intervertebral space with patients in sitting position under full aseptic precaution. Successful placement of spinal needle in sub arachnoids space was confirmed by aspiration of cerebrospinal fluid and the study drug was injected over 10 second period and the patients were placed supine after the drug was injected.

The sensory and motor blockade was tested every two minutes after the injection of drug till the attainment of the highest level of block. Haemodynamic monitoring was done every two minutes for the first 10 minutes and subsequently every 15 minute till the end of surgery using an automated multichannel monitor. The sensory blockade was tested by pin prick along the midclavicular line and the motor blockade was assessed as per the Bromage scale [8]; (Grade 1: free movement of legs and feet, Grade 2: Just able to flex knees with free movement of feet, Grade 3: Unable to flex knees, but with free movement of feet, Grade 4: Unable to move legs or feet) [Table/Fig-2].

Fall in systolic blood pressure to less than 90 mm Hg or less than 30% of baseline value was defined as hypotension and was treated with Inj. Mephenteramine 3 mg bolus doses in increments. Similarly, bradycardia was defined as fall in heart rate to less than 60 beats per minutes and treated with Inj. Atropine 0.3 mg bolus doses. After the end of surgery, patient was shifted to the Post Anaesthesia Care

Patients undergoing lower limb orthopaedic surgeries (78 patients) nt's Exclusion Criteria (18 patients Patient's inclusion criteria (60 patients) Age 20-60 years. ASA I and II operative patient strolled diabetes Willing patients Patient refusal Infection at injection site Increased intracranial pres Informed written consent taken from patients included into the study and randomized into to groups of 30 patients each Group D3 (30 Patients) Group D5 (30 Patients) Patient to receive 5 µg of inj. Dexmedetomidine (0.5 ml, reconstituted using normal saline) along Patient to receive 3 µg of inj. Dexmedetomidine (0.5 ml, reconstituted using normal saline) along with 12.5 mg of hyperbaric inj. Bupivacaine (2.5 ml) via intratheca with 12.5 mg of hyperbaric inj. Bupiva caine (2.5 ml) [Table/Fig-1]: Flow chart of patient inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Unit (PACU) and was discharged from PACU once the modified aldret score was nine or more [9].

Data recording were done for Time to reach the Highest Level of Sensory Block (TTHSB), Time to reach the best motor blockade (Bromage scale of 4) (TTBS4), Time To Surgical Incision after giving spinal anaesthesia (TTSI), changes in haemodynamics, Total Duration Of Surgery (TDOS) incidence of side effects and time to first rescue analgesia postoperatively (TTFRA).

All the data's were analysed using IBM SSPE statistical software version 23.0. Mean±SD and unpaired t-test were used for statistical analysis and comparison of age, TTHSB, TTBS4, TTSI, TDOS and TTFRA between the two groups with a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Chi-square test was used for qualitative data analysis.

RESULTS

The two groups analysed were similar in terms of demographic profile including patients' age, sex, weight and height with no statistically significant difference [Table/Fig-3]. The mean time to reach highest sensory block (T10) dermatome was similar in both groups, 3.34 minutes±0.37 (D3)/ 3.48 minutes±0.34 (D5) while the time to reach Bromage scale 4 was 5.12±0.46 minutes in D3 and 4.9±0.37 minutes in D5 which was also statistically insignificant. The time to surgical incision after spinal anaesthesia was 23.57±4.22 minutes in Group D3 while in Group D5 the same was 24.53±4.22 minutes (statistically not significant). The total duration of surgery was almost similar in both Groups (153.07 minutes (D3 group): 149.97 minutes (D5 Group) [Table/Fig-4].

The change in haemodynamics was similar (p>0.05) and gradual in both the groups with a fall in blood pressure and heart rate in the first 10 minutes after spinal anaesthesia and a steady course there after with slight increase towards the end of surgery as the effect of the drugs begin to wear down [Table/Fig-5-7]. Similar results were noted in mean arterial pressure [Table/Fig-8]. Two patients each in both the groups needed one rescue bolus dose of Inj. Mephenteramine 3 mg to treat fall in systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg.

A statistically significant difference (p<0.001) was observed in time to first rescue analgesia after skin closure with Group D3 having 206.47 minutes while in Group D5 the time was 271.33 minutes [Table/Fig-4].

DISCUSSION

In our study, we found that dexmedetomidine in a dose of $3 \mu g$ and $5 \mu g$ in 0.5 ml volume is an effective additive to hyperbaric bupivacaine

Grade	Criteria	Degree of block
1	Free movement of legs and feet	Nil (0%)
2	Just able to flex knees with free movement of feet	Partial (33%)
3	Unable to flex knees, but with free movement of feet	Almost complete (66%)
4	Unable to move legs or feet	Complete (100%)

[Table/Fig-2]: Description of bromage scale [8].

Criterion	Group D3	Group D5	p value (< 0.05)
Age (years)	36.33±11.604	41.77±12.62	0.088
Sex (Male: Female)	21:9	16:14	-
Weight (Kgs)	64.4±8.20	66.40±7.15	0.257
Height (Cms)	158.09±4.29	159.27±4.07	0.10
ASA (I:II)	23:7	21:9	-

[Table/Fig-3]: Demographic parameters.

Group D3= Dexmed 3 μ g; Group D5= Dexmed 5 μ g; ASA= American society of Anaesthesiologist: Students t-test was used for analysis of Age, weight and height with a p-value of < 0.05 considered significant while Chi-Square test was used for evaluation of sex and ASA status.

Criterion	Group D3	Group D5	p-value (< 0.05)
TTHSB (mins)	3.34±0.37	3.48±0.34	0.534
TTBS4 (mins)	5.12±0.46	4.90±0.37	0.088
TTSI (mins)	23.57±4.22	24.53±4.22	0.378
TDOS (mins)	153.07±16.61	149.97±17.55	0.485
TTFRA (mins)	206.47±18.86	271.33±16.83	0.001
Hypotension	3	3	-

[Table/Fig-4]: Summary of various parameters analysed.

TTHSB= time to highest sensory block (T10); TTBS4 = time to Bromage scale 4; TTSI = time to surgical incision; TDOS = total duration of surgery; TTFRA = time to first rescue analgesia after skin closure. Students t-test was used for analysis of above parameters with a p-value of < 0.05 considered significant.

Criterion	Group D3	Group D5	p-value (<0.05)
Pre-Op	88.9	86.9	0.48
2 Min	86.9	84.9	0.44
4 Min	85.2	85.0	0.95
6 Min	83.4	83.0	0.85
8 Min	81.6	80.8	0.74
10 Min	80.4	79.6	0.71
15 Min	79.5	79.3	0.92
30 Min	77.9	77.9	0.97
45 Min	77.2	77.3	0.99
60 min	76.5	77.7	0.60
EOS	76.7	77.1	0.87

[Table/Fig-5]: Comparison of mean heart rate between two groups.

D3= Dexmedetomidine 3 μ g; D5= Dexmedetomidine 5 μ g; EOS= end of surgery. p-value calculated using Student's t-test with a p-value of <0.05 considered statistically significant.

Criterion	Group D3	Group D5	p-value (<0.05)
Pre-Op	129.1	133.2	0.07
2 Min	126.9	128.1	0.45
4 Min	122.2	125.1	0.07
6 Min	120.9	122.7	0.30
8 Min	116.4	119.5	0.19
10 Min	115.9	117.5	0.37
15 Min	112.2	113.4	0.47
30 Min	112.2	112.5	0.90
45 Min	107.7	112.1	0.23
60 min	110.4	111.8	0.49
EOS	120.9	123.3	0.32

[Table/Fig-6]: Comparison of mean systolic blood pressure between two groups. D3= Dexmedetomidine 3 μ g; D5= Dexmedetomidine 5 μ g; EOS= end of surgery. p-value calculated using Student's t-test with a p-value of <0.05 considered statistically significant.

Criterion	Group D3	Group D5	p-value (<0.05)
Pre-Op	76.8	79.1	0.47
2 Min	78.9	77.9	0.67
4 Min	75.5	76.2	0.75
6 Min	73.3	74.2	0.70
8 Min	69.9	70.9	0.66
10 Min	71.4	70.7	0.75
15 Min	68.9	67.5	0.49
30 Min	68.9	67.9	0.66
45 Min	68.2	68.0	0.95
60 min	67.4	67.9	0.79
EOS	71.9	73.1	0.58

[Table/Fig-7]: Comparison of mean diastolic blood pressure between two groups. D3= Dexmedetomidine 3 μ g; D5= Dexmedetomidine 5 μ g; EOS= end of surgery. p-value calculated using Student's t-test with a p-value of <0.05 considered statistically significant.

Criterion	Group D3	Group D5	p-value (<0.05)
Pre-Op	97.1	98.3	0.57
2 Min	96.1	96.2	0.94
4 Min	92.6	93.9	0.45
6 Min	90.4	91.8	0.46
8 Min	86.8	88.7	0.35
10 Min	87.1	87.5	0.84
15 Min	84.6	84.1	0.76
30 Min	84.6	83.8	0.68
45 Min	83.3	84.0	0.73
60 min	82.6	83.9	0.49
EOS	90.4	91.6	0.53

[Table/Fig-8]: Comparison of mean MAP between the two groups.

D3= Dexmedetomidine 3 μ g; D5= Dexmedetomidine 5 μ g; EOS= end of surgery; MAP= mean arterial pressure. p-value calculated using Student's t-test with a p-value of <0.05 considered statistically significant.

0.5% in spinal anaesthesia producing good haemodynamic stability during the intraoperative period and a prolonged postoperative analgesia. The dose dependent side effects of dexmedetomidine namely hypotension and bradycardia were not seen with doses of 3 μg and 5 μg ; although, the duration of postoperative analgesia was significantly longer with dexmedetomidine in 5 μg dose compared to 3 μg doses.

Since its FDA approval for use in humans as a short term medication for sedation/analgesia in the intensive care unit, researchers have been exploring the prospect of using dexmedetomidine as an additive in spinal analgesia taking into advantage its highly selective agonistic action for intrathecal α_2 receptors which have antinociceptive actions for both somatic and visceral pain [10]. Dexmedetomidine prolongs the sensory block by depressing the release of C fibres transmitters and by hyperpolarisation of post synaptic dorsal horn neurons [11,12].

In the study conducted by Shaikh SI and Dattatri R patients were randomly allocated into three groups of 30 patients each with the first group received 15 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with normal saline, second group received 15 mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine with 5 µg and the third group received 15 mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine with 10 µg of dexmedetomidine with normal saline to a total volume of 3.5 ml [13]. They found that the mean time taken to attain sensory block of T10 dermatome and motor block of Bromage 4 grade was significantly rapid in second and third group as compared to bupivacaine group. The time taken for regression of sensory block and the time to first rescue analgesic also significantly increased by addition of dexmedetomidine in a dose dependent manner. The findings of our study were similar to that of Shaikh SI and Dattatri R with dexmedetomidine 5 μ g added to hyperbaric bupivacaine producing a shorter time to attain a Bromage scale of 4 and a longer time to rescue analgesia as compared to dexmedetomidine 3 μ g.

A meta analysis on the effect of different doses of intrathecal dexmedetomidine on spinal anaesthesia conducted by Zhang Y et al., which included nine studies with Jaded score of 3-5 on various doses of dexmedetomidine concluded that the action of spinal anaesthesia may be prolonged by increasing the dose of intrathecal dexmedetomidine but the risk of bradycardia is increased at the same time [14]. In our study, the incidence of hypotension and bradycardia was not significant with dexmedetomidine being used in 3 μg and 5 μg doses intrathecally but the duration of postoperative analgesia was comparable to that achieved by higher doses of dexmedetomidine as in other studies.

Study conducted by Nayagam HA et al., concluded that dexmedetomidine in a dose of 5 μ g is ideal for use as an additive in spinal anaesthesia [2]. The findings of our study are on a similar line with 5 μ g dose producing a prolonged duration of postoperative analgesia compared to dexmedetomidine in 3 μ g dose.

Study conducted by Sudheesh K et al., used dexmedetomidine in doses of 3 μ g and 5 μ g as an additive to spinal anaesthesia in patients undergoing ambulatory perianal surgeries and found the changes in haemodynamic parameters during the intraoperative period were similar in both the groups with overall gradual decrease in haemodynamic parameters below the baseline value [7]. We have reported a similar pattern of haemodynamic changes in our study.

All patients enrolled into the study were followed up in orthopaedics outpatient department for a period of six months from the completion of study and were not found to have any neurological sequelae which could otherwise be attributed to intrathecal dexmedetomidine administration.

LIMITATION

The sample size was small and as all the lower limb orthopaedic cases were included in the study, the duration of surgery was not uniform. The limitation of study could have been reduced if only one type of lower limb orthopaedic cases were included in the study to ensure uniformity in the duration of surgery and time to first rescue analgesia.

CONCLUSION

Dexmedetomidine is an effective additive to spinal anaesthesia which provides a stable haemodynamics and prolonged postoperative analgesia. Used in a dose of 5 μg (in 0.5 ml volume) maximal beneficial effect of dexmedetomidine can be obtained.

REFERENCES

[1] Gupta R, Verma R, Bogra R, Kohli M, Raman R, Kushwaha JK. A comparative study of intrathecal dexmedetomidine and fentanyl as adjuvant to bupivacaine. J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol. 2011;27:339-43.

- [2] Nayagam HA, Singh NR, Singh HS. A prospective randomised double blind study of intrathecal fentanyl and dexmedetomidine added to low dose bupivacaine for spinal anaesthesia for lower abdominal surgeries. Indian J Anaesth. 2014;58: 430-35
- [3] Kim MH, Lee YM. Intrathecal midazolam increases the analgesic effects of spinal blockade with bupivacaine in patients undergoing haemorrhoidectomy. Br J Anaesth. 2001;86:77–79.
- [4] Benyamin R, Trescot AM, Datta S, Buenaventura R, Adlaka R, Sehgal N, et al. Opioid complications and side effects. Pain Physician. 2008;11(2 Suppl): S105-20.
- [5] Talke P, Richardson CA, Scheinin M, Fisher DM. Postoperative pharmacokinetics and sympatholytic effects of dexmedetomidine. Anaesth Analg.1997;85: 1136–42.
- [6] Eid H, Shafie M, Youssef H. Dose-related prolongation of hyperbaric bupivacaine spinal anaesthesia by dexmedetomidine. ASJA. 2011;4:83-95.
- [7] Sudheesh K, Raghavendra Rao RS, Kavya M, Aarthi J, Rani DD, Nethra SS. Comparative study of two doses of intrathecal dexmedetomidine as adjuvant with low dose hyperbaric bupivacaine in ambulatory perianal surgeries: A prospective randomised controlled study. Indian J Anaesth. 2015;59:648-52.
- [8] Bromage PR. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1978:144.
- [9] Aldrete JA. The post-anaesthesia recovery score revisited. Journal of Clinical Anaesthesia. 1995;7,89-91.
- [10] Grewal A. Dexmedetomidine: New avenues. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2011;27:297-302.
- [11] Piascik MT, Soltis EE, Piascik MM, Macmillan LB. Alpha-adrenoceptors and vascular regulation: Molecular, pharmacologic and clinical correlates. Pharmacol Ther. 1996;72:215–41.
- [12] Gupta R, Bogra J, Verma R, Kohli M, Kushwaha JK, Kumar S. Dexmedetomidine as an intrathecal adjuvant for postoperative analgesia. Indian J Anaesth. 2011;55:347-51.
- [13] Shaikh SI, Dattatri R. Dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to hyperbaric spinal bupivacaine for infra-umbilical procedures: A dose related study. Anaesth, Pain & Intensive Care. 2014;18:180-85.
- [14] Zhang Y, Shan Z, Kuang L, Xu Y, Xiu H, Wen J, et al. The effect of different doses of intrathecal dexmedetomidine on spinal anaesthesia: a meta analysis; Int J Clin Exp Med. 2016;9(10):18860-86.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- . Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Sikkim Manipal Institute of Medical Science, Gangtok, Sikkim, India.
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Sikkim Manipal Institute of Medical Science, Gangtok, Sikkim, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Arati Rai,

Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Sikkim Manipal Institute of Medical Science, Gangtok, Sikkim, India. E-mail: arati_401@yahoo.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Dec 22, 2016 Date of Peer Review: Jan 17, 2017 Date of Acceptance: Feb 09, 2017 Date of Publishing: Apr 01, 2017